

Ishan Mehta

Software Tester · FICTIONAL
SAMPLE
Jaipur, India

Skills

exploratory testing

Applied to subscription journey review through documented preparation, execution and review.

test cases

Applied to cross-browser acceptance suite through documented preparation, execution and review.

defect reporting

Applied to test data catalogue through documented preparation, execution and review.

API checks

Applied to subscription journey review through documented preparation, execution and review.

SQL

Applied to cross-browser acceptance suite through documented preparation, execution and review.

browser testing

Applied to test data catalogue through documented preparation, execution and review.

requirements review

Applied to subscription journey review through documented preparation, execution and review.

release validation

Applied to cross-browser acceptance suite through documented preparation, execution and review.

Summary

Fictional demonstration candidate. All employers, education, projects, achievements and outcomes are illustrative and unverified. Not a real application or licence record. Software Tester with an illustrative 13-year career in software quality engineering and release assurance. Combines exploratory testing, test cases, defect reporting with disciplined documentation, practical coordination and clear communication. The sample career progresses from focused execution to independent workstream ownership, with responsibilities and boundaries described for each appointment. Selected work includes subscription journey review, cross-browser acceptance suite and test data catalogue. These examples explain the original problem, individual contribution, deliverables, review approach and remaining limitations rather than relying on unsupported headline claims. Prepared for experienced software tester opportunities requiring dependable delivery, thoughtful professional judgement and collaboration. The qualification narrative includes Postgraduate diploma in Software Quality. Every named organisation and outcome in this record is fictional; professional eligibility is not independently established. Turn a clear brief into dependable software quality engineering and release assurance work: understand the context, apply exploratory testing and test cases, record the evidence and explain the limitations before handover.

Experience

Software Tester - Meridian Quality Engineering (fictional)

2022-07 - Present

Independent ownership of scoped software tester work, coordinating contributors and making review requirements explicit. Includes the first two selected work examples. Mapped state transitions and reproduced billing-flow defects. Built a supported-browser matrix and repeatable scenarios. Led brief clarification and prioritised work using exploratory testing, test cases and defect reporting. Raised unresolved constraints before committing to the next stage. Coordinated peer reviews and handover preparation; used a test strategy and coverage map to distinguish completed work, assumptions and follow-up needs. Supported colleagues with practical examples of api checks and maintained a concise learning record after important assignments. Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Senior Software Tester - Northline Quality Engineering (fictional)

2018-07 - 2022-06

Owned defined assignments and supported cross-functional coordination. Developed deeper practice in defect reporting and api checks. Created isolated personas and reset instructions. Translated incoming requirements into a sequenced plan and aligned responsibilities with the project or service owner. Applied sql and browser testing to resolve delivery questions while maintaining source and decision notes. Introduced reusable working documents and reviewed exceptions with the responsible specialist rather than silently changing scope. Prepared a release-readiness assessment so the next team could understand the work and remaining questions. Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Software Tester - Cedarbridge Quality Engineering (fictional)

2015-07 - 2018-06

Progressed from supported tasks to independently managed assignments, with review available for unfamiliar or higher-risk decisions. Handled recurring work involving exploratory testing and test cases using a documented preparation and review process. Supported cross-browser acceptance suite by organising inputs, maintaining issue notes and incorporating reviewer feedback. Coordinated colleagues and internal stakeholders using concise status updates, clear questions and agreed next steps. Improved record consistency through requirements review and documented handover expectations. Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Assistant Software Tester - Cedarbridge Quality Engineering (fictional)

2013-07 - 2015-06

Built practical foundations through supervised assignments, routine documentation and feedback from experienced colleagues. Assisted with exploratory testing and defect

Ishan Mehta

Software Tester · FICTIONAL
SAMPLE
Jaipur, India

reporting within an agreed scope and escalated unfamiliar work. Prepared inputs and checked completeness before passing work to the responsible reviewer. Maintained task records and learned to communicate assumptions, constraints and observed problems clearly. Applied review feedback to subsequent assignments and developed a dependable working routine. Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Education

Postgraduate diploma in Software Quality - Asterbridge Institute of Professional Studies (fictional institution)

2012-07 - 2013-05

completed - fictional record exploratory testing test cases API checks Specialist study on subscription journey review; an illustrative learning project, not a published result.

B.Sc in Computer Science - Cedarhaven College of Applied Studies (fictional institution)

2009-07 - 2012-05

completed - fictional record defect reporting SQL browser testing Applied coursework in exploratory testing, documentation and reviewed practical assignments.

Projects

Subscription journey review

Fictional internal work programme in software quality engineering and release assurance; not a real client case study. Problem: Renewal edge cases were not specified. Objective: Create a workable response to this issue through exploratory testing, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up. Software Tester; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution. Mapped state transitions and reproduced billing-flow defects. Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used exploratory testing to turn the brief into a sequenced work package. Applied test cases and defect reporting while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes. Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed. Methods: exploratory testing; test cases; defect reporting; API checks Deliverables: Subscription journey review - scoped brief; Subscription journey review - reviewed working package; Subscription journey review - handover and learning summary Review: Reviewed the scoped output against the agreed brief, recorded exceptions and checked that key conclusions could be traced to observations. The review package calls for a test strategy and coverage map and a named human reviewer. Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for subscription journey review, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied. Limitations: Synthetic demonstration only. Results refer to a bounded sample system and test window, not a guarantee of defect-free software. No underlying client documents, independently verified measurements or signed approval records are attached.

Cross-browser acceptance suite

Fictional internal work programme in software quality engineering and release assurance; not a real client case study. Problem: Critical forms behaved differently across browsers. Objective: Create a workable response to this issue through test cases, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up. Software Tester; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution. Built a supported-browser matrix and repeatable scenarios. Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used defect reporting to turn the brief into a sequenced work package. Applied api checks and sql while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes. Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed. Methods: test cases; defect reporting; API checks; SQL Deliverables: Cross-browser acceptance suite - scoped brief; Cross-browser acceptance suite - reviewed working package; Cross-browser acceptance suite -

Certifications

Risk-based testing workshop

Meridian Professional Learning Studio (fictional) 2023 continuing learning - not a licence or certification Exploratory testing and api checks in the context of software tester work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to subscription journey review.

Test-data design practicum

Meridian Professional Learning Studio (fictional) 2024 continuing learning - not a licence or certification Test cases and sql in the context of software tester work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to cross-browser acceptance suite.

Defect communication clinic

Meridian Professional Learning Studio (fictional) 2025 continuing learning - not a licence or certification Defect reporting and browser testing in the context of software tester work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to test data catalogue.

Languages

English

professional working - fictional sample

Hindi

professional working - fictional sample

Ishan Mehta

Software Tester · FICTIONAL
SAMPLE
Jaipur, India

handover and learning summary Review: Reviewed the scoped output against the agreed brief, recorded exceptions and checked that key conclusions could be traced to observations. The review package calls for a defect reproduction record and a named human reviewer. Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for cross-browser acceptance suite, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied. Limitations: Synthetic demonstration only. Results refer to a bounded sample system and test window, not a guarantee of defect-free software. No underlying client documents, independently verified measurements or signed approval records are attached.

Test data catalogue

Fictional internal work programme in software quality engineering and release assurance; not a real client case study. Problem: Shared accounts caused inconsistent test results. Objective: Create a workable response to this issue through defect reporting, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up. Software Tester; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution. Created isolated personas and reset instructions. Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used sql to turn the brief into a sequenced work package. Applied browser testing and requirements review while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes. Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed. Methods: defect reporting; API checks; SQL; browser testing Deliverables: Test data catalogue - scoped brief; Test data catalogue - reviewed working package; Test data catalogue - handover and learning summary Review: Reviewed the scoped output against the agreed brief, recorded exceptions and checked that key conclusions could be traced to observations. The review package calls for a release-readiness assessment and a named human reviewer. Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for test data catalogue, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied. Limitations: Synthetic demonstration only. Results refer to a bounded sample system and test window, not a guarantee of defect-free software. No underlying client documents, independently verified measurements or signed approval records are attached.

Achievements

Subscription journey review

Mapped state transitions and reproduced billing-flow defects. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

Cross-browser acceptance suite

Built a supported-browser matrix and repeatable scenarios. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

Test data catalogue

Created isolated personas and reset instructions. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.