

Pranav Mehta

Architect · FICTIONAL SAMPLE
Kochi, India

Summary

Fictional demonstration candidate. All employers, education, projects, achievements and outcomes are illustrative and unverified. Not a real application or licence record. Architect with an illustrative 13-year career in built-environment design and project delivery. Combines spatial planning, design development, building documentation with disciplined documentation, practical coordination and clear communication. The sample career progresses from focused execution to independent workstream ownership, with responsibilities and boundaries described for each appointment. Selected work includes learning centre design, adaptive reuse study and drawing coordination programme. These examples explain the original problem, individual contribution, deliverables, review approach and remaining limitations rather than relying on unsupported headline claims. Prepared for experienced architect opportunities requiring dependable delivery, thoughtful professional judgement and collaboration. The qualification narrative includes M.Arch in Sustainable Design. Every named organisation and outcome in this record is fictional; professional eligibility is not independently established. Turn a clear brief into dependable built-environment design and project delivery work: understand the context, apply spatial planning and design development, record the evidence and explain the limitations before handover.

Experience

Architect - Meridian Built Environment (fictional)

2022-07 - Present

Independent ownership of scoped architect work, coordinating contributors and making review requirements explicit. Includes the first two selected work examples. Developed spatial options and coordinated consultant inputs. Compiled a measured baseline and phased design options. Led brief clarification and prioritised work using spatial planning, design development and building documentation. Raised unresolved constraints before committing to the next stage. Coordinated peer reviews and handover preparation; used a brief and option appraisal to distinguish completed work, assumptions and follow-up needs. Supported colleagues with practical examples of revit and maintained a concise learning record after important assignments. Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Senior Architect - Northline Built Environment (fictional)

2018-07 - 2022-06

Owned defined assignments and supported cross-functional coordination. Developed deeper practice in building documentation and revit. Introduced issue registers and coordinated design review gates. Translated incoming requirements into a sequenced plan and aligned responsibilities with the project or service owner. Applied autocad and consultant coordination to resolve delivery questions while maintaining source and decision notes. Introduced reusable working documents and reviewed exceptions with the responsible specialist rather than silently changing scope. Prepared a site observation and handover log so the next team could understand the work and remaining questions. Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Architect - Cedarbridge Built Environment (fictional)

2015-07 - 2018-06

Progressed from supported tasks to independently managed assignments, with review available for unfamiliar or higher-risk decisions. Handled recurring work involving spatial planning and design development using a documented preparation and review process. Supported adaptive reuse study by organising inputs, maintaining issue notes and incorporating reviewer feedback. Coordinated colleagues and internal stakeholders using concise status updates, clear questions and agreed next steps. Improved record consistency through materials and documented handover expectations. Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Assistant Architect - Cedarbridge Built Environment (fictional)

2013-07 - 2015-06

Built practical foundations through supervised assignments, routine documentation and feedback from experienced colleagues. Assisted with spatial planning and building documentation within an agreed scope and escalated unfamiliar work. Prepared inputs and checked completeness before passing work to the responsible reviewer. Maintained task records and learned to communicate assumptions, constraints and observed problems clearly. Applied review feedback to subsequent assignments and developed a dependable working routine. Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Education

M.Arch in Sustainable Design - Asterbridge Institute of Professional Studies (fictional institution)

2011-07 - 2013-05

completed - fictional record spatial planning design development Revit Specialist study on learning centre design; an illustrative learning project, not a published result.

B.Arch - Cedarhaven College of Applied Studies (fictional institution)

2006-07 - 2011-05

completed - fictional record building documentation AutoCAD consultant coordination Applied coursework in spatial planning,

documentation and reviewed practical assignments.

Projects

Learning centre design

Fictional internal work programme in built-environment design and project delivery; not a real client case study. Problem: The brief required flexible rooms on a constrained site. Objective: Create a workable response to this issue through spatial planning, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up. Architect; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution. Developed spatial options and coordinated consultant inputs. Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used spatial planning to turn the brief into a sequenced work package. Applied design development and building documentation while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes. Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed. Methods: spatial planning; design development; building documentation; Revit Deliverables: Learning centre design - scoped brief; Learning centre design - reviewed working package; Learning centre design - handover and learning summary Review: Reviewed the scoped output against the agreed brief, recorded exceptions and checked that key conclusions could be traced to observations. The review package calls for a brief and option appraisal and a named human reviewer. Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for learning centre design, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied. Limitations: Synthetic demonstration only. Fictional examples do not imply statutory approval, registered practice or permission to use real client drawings. No underlying client documents, independently verified measurements or signed approval records are attached.

Adaptive reuse study

Fictional internal work programme in built-environment design and project delivery; not a real client case study. Problem: Existing drawings conflicted with observed conditions. Objective: Create a workable response to this issue through design development, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up. Architect; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution. Compiled a measured baseline and phased design options. Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used building documentation to turn the brief into a sequenced work package. Applied Revit and AutoCAD while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes. Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed. Methods: design development; building documentation; Revit; AutoCAD Deliverables: Adaptive reuse study - scoped brief; Adaptive reuse study - reviewed working package; Adaptive reuse study - handover and learning summary Review: Reviewed the scoped output against the agreed brief, recorded exceptions and checked that key conclusions could be traced to observations. The review package calls for a coordinated drawing or model package and a named human reviewer. Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for adaptive reuse study, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied. Limitations: Synthetic demonstration only. Fictional examples do not imply statutory approval, registered practice or permission to use real client drawings. No underlying client documents, independently verified measurements or signed approval records are attached.

Drawing coordination programme

Fictional internal work programme in built-environment design and project delivery; not a real client case study. Problem: Late revisions created consultant clashes. Objective: Create a workable response to this issue through building documentation, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up. Architect; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution. Introduced issue registers and coordinated design review gates. Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used AutoCAD to turn the brief into a sequenced work package. Applied consultant coordination and materials while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes. Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed. Methods: building documentation; Revit; AutoCAD; consultant coordination Deliverables: Drawing coordination programme - scoped brief; Drawing coordination programme - reviewed working package; Drawing coordination programme - handover and learning summary Review: Reviewed the scoped output against the agreed brief, recorded exceptions and checked that key conclusions could be traced to observations. The review package calls for a site observation and handover log and a named human reviewer. Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for drawing coordination programme, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied. Limitations: Synthetic demonstration only. Fictional examples do not imply statutory approval, registered practice or permission to use real client drawings. No underlying client documents, independently verified measurements or signed approval records are attached.

Skills

spatial planning

Applied to learning centre design through documented preparation, execution and review.

design development

Applied to adaptive reuse study through documented preparation, execution and review.

building documentation

Applied to drawing coordination programme through documented preparation, execution and review.

Revit

Applied to learning centre design through documented preparation, execution and review.

AutoCAD

Applied to adaptive reuse study through documented preparation, execution and review.

consultant coordination

Applied to drawing coordination programme through documented preparation, execution and review.

materials

Applied to learning centre design through documented preparation, execution and review.

site review

Applied to adaptive reuse study through documented preparation, execution and review.

Certifications

Design coordination studio

Meridian Professional Learning Studio (fictional) 2023 continuing learning - not a licence or certification Spatial planning and revit in the context of architect work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to learning centre design.

Construction documentation workshop

Meridian Professional Learning Studio (fictional) 2024 continuing learning - not a licence or certification Design development and autocad in the context of architect work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to adaptive reuse study.

Cost and schedule review lab

Meridian Professional Learning Studio (fictional) 2025 continuing learning - not a licence or certification Building documentation and consultant coordination in the context of architect work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to drawing coordination programme.

Languages

English

professional working - fictional sample

Hindi

professional working - fictional sample

Achievements

Learning centre design

Developed spatial options and coordinated consultant inputs. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

Adaptive reuse study

Compiled a measured baseline and phased design options. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

Drawing coordination programme

Introduced issue registers and coordinated design review gates. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

