



# KUNAL MEHTA

## System Administrator

Linux, Windows Server, identity administration

Kochi, India | kunal.mehta@example.com | ROLE 03-05

FICTIONAL SAMPLE - all employers, qualifications and outcomes are illustrative and unverified.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

System Administrator with an illustrative 12-year career in enterprise technology operations and infrastructure. Combines linux, windows server, identity administration with disciplined documentation, practical coordination and clear communication. The sample career progresses from focused execution to independent workstream ownership, with responsibilities and boundaries described for each appointment.

Selected work includes server lifecycle programme, joiner-mover-leaver workflow and restore readiness review. These examples explain the original problem, individual contribution, deliverables, review approach and remaining limitations rather than relying on unsupported headline claims.

Prepared for experienced system administrator opportunities requiring dependable delivery, thoughtful professional judgement and collaboration. The qualification narrative includes Postgraduate diploma in Systems Administration. Every named organisation and outcome in this record is fictional; professional eligibility is not independently established.

### AT A GLANCE

**12 years**

ILLUSTRATIVE CAREER

**4**

CAREER APPOINTMENTS

**3**

WORK EXAMPLES

**2**

EDUCATION RECORDS

### CORE COMPETENCIES

Linux / Windows Server

identity administration / backup operations

patching / scripting

asset management / monitoring

### PROFESSIONAL MISSION

Turn a clear brief into dependable enterprise technology operations and infrastructure work: understand the context, apply linux and windows server, record the evidence and explain the limitations before handover.

Career family: Cloud, IT Infrastructure & Cybersecurity | Snapshot: 2026-09-22

## PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE / RECENT

### System Administrator

Meridian Technology Operations (fictional)

Jul 2023 - Present | Kochi, India

Independent ownership of scoped system administrator work, coordinating contributors and making review requirements explicit. Includes the first two selected work examples.

- Built an asset baseline and phased upgrade schedule.
- Implemented approved identity checklists and exception logging.
- Led brief clarification and prioritised work using linux, windows server and identity administration. Raised unresolved constraints before committing to the next stage.
- Coordinated peer reviews and handover preparation; used a sanitised configuration review to distinguish completed work, assumptions and follow-up needs.
- Supported colleagues with practical examples of backup operations and maintained a concise learning record after important assignments.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

### Senior System Administrator

Northline Technology Operations (fictional)

Jul 2019 - Jun 2023 | Kochi, India

Owned defined assignments and supported cross-functional coordination. Developed deeper practice in identity administration and backup operations.

- Ran supervised recovery checks and corrected retention gaps.
- Translated incoming requirements into a sequenced plan and aligned responsibilities with the project or service owner.
- Applied patching and scripting to resolve delivery questions while maintaining source and decision notes.
- Introduced reusable working documents and reviewed exceptions with the responsible specialist rather than silently changing scope.
- Prepared a incident learning summary so the next team could understand the work and remaining questions.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

## PROFESSIONAL WORKING PRINCIPLES

- Map dependencies, permissions and ownership before changing a live environment.
- Use change windows, peer review and tested recovery paths for operational work.
- Record service observations, exceptions and follow-up without exposing credentials.

## PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE / EARLIER

### System Administrator

Cedarbridge Technology Operations (fictional)

Jul 2016 - Jun 2019 | Kochi, India

Progressed from supported tasks to independently managed assignments, with review available for unfamiliar or higher-risk decisions.

- Handled recurring work involving linux and windows server using a documented preparation and review process.
- Supported joiner-mover-leaver workflow by organising inputs, maintaining issue notes and incorporating reviewer feedback.
- Coordinated colleagues and internal stakeholders using concise status updates, clear questions and agreed next steps.
- Improved record consistency through asset management and documented handover expectations.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

### Assistant System Administrator

Cedarbridge Technology Operations (fictional)

Jul 2014 - Jun 2016 | Kochi, India

Built practical foundations through supervised assignments, routine documentation and feedback from experienced colleagues.

- Assisted with linux and identity administration within an agreed scope and escalated unfamiliar work.
- Prepared inputs and checked completeness before passing work to the responsible reviewer.
- Maintained task records and learned to communicate assumptions, constraints and observed problems clearly.
- Applied review feedback to subsequent assignments and developed a dependable working routine.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

## SELECTED CONTRIBUTIONS

**Server lifecycle programme.** Built an asset baseline and phased upgrade schedule. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

**Joiner-mover-leaver workflow.** Implemented approved identity checklists and exception logging. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

**Restore readiness review.** Ran supervised recovery checks and corrected retention gaps. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

## SELECTED WORK / 01

### Server lifecycle programme

P1 | Jan 2024 - Jun 2024 | FICTIONAL WORK EXAMPLE

**Context.** Fictional internal work programme in enterprise technology operations and infrastructure; not a real client case study.

**Problem.** Unsupported systems remained outside maintenance plans.

**Objective.** Create a workable response to this issue through linux, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

**Contribution and ownership.** System Administrator; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Built an asset baseline and phased upgrade schedule.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used linux to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied windows server and identity administration while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

**Methods.** Linux / Windows Server / identity administration / backup operations

**Deliverables.** Server lifecycle programme - scoped brief; Server lifecycle programme - reviewed working package; Server lifecycle programme - handover and learning summary

**Review.** Reviewed the scoped output against the agreed brief, recorded exceptions and checked that key conclusions could be traced to observations. The review package calls for a sanitised configuration review and a named human reviewer.

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for server lifecycle programme, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): sanitised configuration review; change and rollback record; incident learning summary.

## SPECIALIST PRACTICE

### Operational safeguards

The sample focuses on linux, windows server, identity administration. Approvals, supervision and specialist input are identified in each work package; work outside this scope is referred to the designated responsible person.

**Peer learning and practical guidance.** Created short examples on linux and backup operations for colleagues. Separated personal preferences from agreed team procedures and recorded useful questions.

**Review and handover discipline.** Facilitated practical reviews of joiner-mover-leaver workflow, ensuring that unresolved issues retained a named owner rather than disappearing from final presentations.

Testing is performed in authorised environments; security examples contain no real secrets or exploit instructions.

## SELECTED WORK / 02 AND 03

### Joiner-mover-leaver workflow

P2 | Jan 2025 - Jun 2025 | Meridian Technology Operations (fictional)

**Problem.** Account changes relied on informal messages.

**Objective.** Create a workable response to this issue through windows server, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

**Ownership.** System Administrator; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Implemented approved identity checklists and exception logging.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used identity administration to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied backup operations and patching while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

**Methods.** Windows Server / identity administration / backup operations / patching

**Delivered.** Joiner-mover-leaver workflow - scoped brief; Joiner-mover-leaver workflow - reviewed working package; Joiner-mover-leaver workflow - handover and learning summary

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for joiner-mover-leaver workflow, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): sanitised configuration review; change and rollback record; incident learning summary.

### Restore readiness review

P3 | Jan 2020 - Jun 2020 | Northline Technology Operations (fictional)

**Problem.** Backup success reports hid missing restore tests.

**Objective.** Create a workable response to this issue through identity administration, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

**Ownership.** System Administrator; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Ran supervised recovery checks and corrected retention gaps.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used patching to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied scripting and asset management while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

**Methods.** identity administration / backup operations / patching / scripting

**Delivered.** Restore readiness review - scoped brief; Restore readiness review - reviewed working package; Restore readiness review - handover and learning summary

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for restore readiness review, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): sanitised configuration review; change and rollback record; incident learning summary.

EDUCATION AND SPECIALIST LEARNING

Postgraduate diploma in Systems Administration

Asterbridge Institute of Professional Studies (fictional institution)  
Jul 2013 - May 2014 | completed - fictional record  
Focus: Linux, Windows Server, backup operations. Specialist study on server lifecycle programme; an illustrative learning project, not a published result.

B.Sc in Information Technology

Cedarhaven College of Applied Studies (fictional institution)  
Jul 2010 - May 2013 | completed - fictional record  
Focus: identity administration, patching, scripting. Applied coursework in linux, documentation and reviewed practical assignments.

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

**Incident response exercise (2023).** Linux and backup operations in the context of system administrator work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to server lifecycle programme.

**Infrastructure change-control lab (2024).** Windows server and patching in the context of system administrator work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to joiner-mover-leaver workflow.

**Access review workshop (2025).** Identity administration and scripting in the context of system administrator work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to restore readiness review.

Provider for these illustrative learning records: Meridian Professional Learning Studio (fictional). Not a licence or certification.

LANGUAGES AND WORKING PREFERENCES

English and Hindi - professional working proficiency in this fictional record.

Work arrangement: hybrid; remote feasibility discussed per role. Relocation: open to discussion - sample preference. Availability: not confirmed; discuss before an interview.

CREDENTIAL AND EVIDENCE STATUS

Testing is performed in authorised environments; security examples contain no real secrets or exploit instructions.

Synthetic qualification and institution names illustrate profile fields only; they are not a validated qualification route or recognised accreditation claim.

No real referee, endorsement, award, publication, membership or licence is supplied.

Use for templates, product demonstrations and test data only. Replace fictional claims and remove the demo label only after approval of real candidate information.

Contact placeholder: kunal.mehta@example.com. No phone, live profile URL or verified reference is supplied.

Complete machine-readable data: profile.json. Interactive companion: portfolio.html. Reference CV used for structure only; this is not Ajay Prajapat's biography.