



YASH MEHTA

Security Tester

authorised application testing, access-control testing, threat modelling

Indore, India | yash.mehta@example.com | ROLE 04-05

FICTIONAL SAMPLE - all employers, qualifications and outcomes are illustrative and unverified.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Security Tester with an illustrative 12-year career in software quality engineering and release assurance. Combines authorised application testing, access-control testing, threat modelling with disciplined documentation, practical coordination and clear communication. The sample career progresses from focused execution to independent workstream ownership, with responsibilities and boundaries described for each appointment.

Selected work includes tenant isolation assessment, authentication workflow review and remediation verification. These examples explain the original problem, individual contribution, deliverables, review approach and remaining limitations rather than relying on unsupported headline claims.

Prepared for experienced security tester opportunities requiring dependable delivery, thoughtful professional judgement and collaboration. The qualification narrative includes M.Sc in Cybersecurity. Every named organisation and outcome in this record is fictional; professional eligibility is not independently established.

AT A GLANCE

12 years

ILLUSTRATIVE CAREER

4

CAREER APPOINTMENTS

3

WORK EXAMPLES

2

EDUCATION RECORDS

CORE COMPETENCIES

authorised application testing / access-control testing

threat modelling / code review

web security / report writing

retesting / test planning

PROFESSIONAL MISSION

Turn a clear brief into dependable software quality engineering and release assurance work: understand the context, apply authorised application testing and access-control testing, record the evidence and explain the limitations before handover.

Career family: Software Testing & Quality | Snapshot: 2026-09-22

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE / RECENT

Security Tester

Meridian Quality Engineering (fictional)

Jul 2023 - Present | Indore, India

Independent ownership of scoped security tester work, coordinating contributors and making review requirements explicit. Includes the first two selected work examples.

- Executed authorised negative-access scenarios in a sandbox.
- Reviewed reset and expiry behaviours against approved criteria.
- Led brief clarification and prioritised work using authorised application testing, access-control testing and threat modelling. Raised unresolved constraints before committing to the next stage.
- Coordinated peer reviews and handover preparation; used a test strategy and coverage map to distinguish completed work, assumptions and follow-up needs.
- Supported colleagues with practical examples of code review and maintained a concise learning record after important assignments.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Senior Security Tester

Northline Quality Engineering (fictional)

Jul 2019 - Jun 2023 | Indore, India

Owned defined assignments and supported cross-functional coordination. Developed deeper practice in threat modelling and code review.

- Created sanitised findings and bounded retest records.
- Translated incoming requirements into a sequenced plan and aligned responsibilities with the project or service owner.
- Applied web security and report writing to resolve delivery questions while maintaining source and decision notes.
- Introduced reusable working documents and reviewed exceptions with the responsible specialist rather than silently changing scope.
- Prepared a release-readiness assessment so the next team could understand the work and remaining questions.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

PROFESSIONAL WORKING PRINCIPLES

- Convert acceptance criteria into risk-based tests with observable expected results.
- Keep representative test data separate from confidential production records.
- Explain coverage limits and residual risks rather than claiming that testing proves perfection.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE / EARLIER

Security Tester

Cedarbridge Quality Engineering (fictional)

Jul 2016 - Jun 2019 | Indore, India

Progressed from supported tasks to independently managed assignments, with review available for unfamiliar or higher-risk decisions.

- Handled recurring work involving authorised application testing and access-control testing using a documented preparation and review process.
- Supported authentication workflow review by organising inputs, maintaining issue notes and incorporating reviewer feedback.
- Coordinated colleagues and internal stakeholders using concise status updates, clear questions and agreed next steps.
- Improved record consistency through retesting and documented handover expectations.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Assistant Security Tester

Cedarbridge Quality Engineering (fictional)

Jul 2014 - Jun 2016 | Indore, India

Built practical foundations through supervised assignments, routine documentation and feedback from experienced colleagues.

- Assisted with authorised application testing and threat modelling within an agreed scope and escalated unfamiliar work.
- Prepared inputs and checked completeness before passing work to the responsible reviewer.
- Maintained task records and learned to communicate assumptions, constraints and observed problems clearly.
- Applied review feedback to subsequent assignments and developed a dependable working routine.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

SELECTED CONTRIBUTIONS

Tenant isolation assessment. Executed authorised negative-access scenarios in a sandbox. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

Authentication workflow review. Reviewed reset and expiry behaviours against approved criteria. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

Remediation verification. Created sanitised findings and bounded retest records. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

SELECTED WORK / 01

Tenant isolation assessment

P1 | Jan 2024 - Jun 2024 | FICTIONAL WORK EXAMPLE

Context. Fictional internal work programme in software quality engineering and release assurance; not a real client case study.

Problem. Cross-tenant access assumptions were untested.

Objective. Create a workable response to this issue through authorised application testing, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

Contribution and ownership. Security Tester; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Executed authorised negative-access scenarios in a sandbox.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used authorised application testing to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied access-control testing and threat modelling while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

Methods. authorised application testing / access-control testing / threat modelling / code review

Deliverables. Tenant isolation assessment - scoped brief; Tenant isolation assessment - reviewed working package; Tenant isolation assessment - handover and learning summary

Review. Reviewed the scoped output against the agreed brief, recorded exceptions and checked that key conclusions could be traced to observations. The review package calls for a test strategy and coverage map and a named human reviewer.

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for tenant isolation assessment, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): test strategy and coverage map; defect reproduction record; release-readiness assessment.

SPECIALIST PRACTICE

Quality evidence

The sample focuses on authorised application testing, access-control testing, threat modelling. Approvals, supervision and specialist input are identified in each work package; work outside this scope is referred to the designated responsible person.

Peer learning and practical guidance. Created short examples on authorised application testing and code review for colleagues. Separated personal preferences from agreed team procedures and recorded useful questions.

Review and handover discipline. Facilitated practical reviews of authentication workflow review, ensuring that unresolved issues retained a named owner rather than disappearing from final presentations.

Results refer to a bounded sample system and test window, not a guarantee of defect-free software.

SELECTED WORK / 02 AND 03

Authentication workflow review

P2 | Jan 2025 - Jun 2025 | Meridian Quality Engineering (fictional)

Problem. Session lifecycle requirements were ambiguous.

Objective. Create a workable response to this issue through access-control testing, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

Ownership. Security Tester; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Reviewed reset and expiry behaviours against approved criteria.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used threat modelling to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied code review and web security while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

Methods. access-control testing / threat modelling / code review / web security

Delivered. Authentication workflow review - scoped brief; Authentication workflow review - reviewed working package; Authentication workflow review - handover and learning summary

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for authentication workflow review, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): test strategy and coverage map; defect reproduction record; release-readiness assessment.

Remediation verification

P3 | Jan 2020 - Jun 2020 | Northline Quality Engineering (fictional)

Problem. Fixes were closed without reproducible confirmation.

Objective. Create a workable response to this issue through threat modelling, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

Ownership. Security Tester; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Created sanitised findings and bounded retest records.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used web security to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied report writing and retesting while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

Methods. threat modelling / code review / web security / report writing

Delivered. Remediation verification - scoped brief; Remediation verification - reviewed working package; Remediation verification - handover and learning summary

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for remediation verification, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): test strategy and coverage map; defect reproduction record; release-readiness assessment.

EDUCATION AND SPECIALIST LEARNING

M.Sc in Cybersecurity

Asterbridge Institute of Professional Studies (fictional institution)
Jul 2012 - May 2014 | completed - fictional record
Focus: authorised application testing, access-control testing, code review. Specialist study on tenant isolation assessment; an illustrative learning project, not a published result.

B.Tech in Information Security

Cedarhaven College of Applied Studies (fictional institution)
Jul 2008 - May 2012 | completed - fictional record
Focus: threat modelling, web security, report writing. Applied coursework in authorised application testing, documentation and reviewed practical assignments.

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Risk-based testing workshop (2023). Authorised application testing and code review in the context of security tester work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to tenant isolation assessment.

Test-data design practicum (2024). Access-control testing and web security in the context of security tester work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to authentication workflow review.

Defect communication clinic (2025). Threat modelling and report writing in the context of security tester work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to remediation verification.

Provider for these illustrative learning records: Meridian Professional Learning Studio (fictional). Not a licence or certification.

LANGUAGES AND WORKING PREFERENCES

English and Hindi - professional working proficiency in this fictional record.

Work arrangement: hybrid; remote feasibility discussed per role. Relocation: open to discussion - sample preference. Availability: not confirmed; discuss before an interview.

CREDENTIAL AND EVIDENCE STATUS

Results refer to a bounded sample system and test window, not a guarantee of defect-free software.

Synthetic qualification and institution names illustrate profile fields only; they are not a validated qualification route or recognised accreditation claim.

No real referee, endorsement, award, publication, membership or licence is supplied.

Use for templates, product demonstrations and test data only. Replace fictional claims and remove the demo label only after approval of real candidate information.

Contact placeholder: yash.mehta@example.com. No phone, live profile URL or verified reference is supplied.

Complete machine-readable data: profile.json. Interactive companion: portfolio.html. Reference CV used for structure only; this is not Ajay Prajapat's biography.