



NISHA SHAH

Medical Writer

scientific writing, literature appraisal, document structure

Pune, India | nisha.shah@example.com | ROLE 27-06

FICTIONAL SAMPLE - all employers, qualifications and outcomes are illustrative and unverified.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Medical Writer with an illustrative 11-year career in pharmaceutical services, clinical research and scientific documentation. Combines scientific writing, literature appraisal, document structure with disciplined documentation, practical coordination and clear communication. The sample career progresses from focused execution to independent workstream ownership, with responsibilities and boundaries described for each appointment.

Selected work includes scientific report development, patient-facing information and editorial quality framework. These examples explain the original problem, individual contribution, deliverables, review approach and remaining limitations rather than relying on unsupported headline claims.

Prepared for experienced medical writer opportunities requiring dependable delivery, thoughtful professional judgement and collaboration. The qualification narrative includes M.Sc in Medical Communication. Every named organisation and outcome in this record is fictional; professional eligibility is not independently established.

AT A GLANCE

11 years ILLUSTRATIVE CAREER	4 CAREER APPOINTMENTS	3 WORK EXAMPLES	2 EDUCATION RECORDS
---------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------	------------------------

CORE COMPETENCIES

- scientific writing / literature appraisal
- document structure / reference management
- data interpretation / review coordination
- plain-language communication / quality checks

PROFESSIONAL MISSION

Turn a clear brief into dependable pharmaceutical services, clinical research and scientific documentation work: understand the context, apply scientific writing and literature appraisal, record the evidence and explain the limitations before handover.

Career family: Pharmacy, Biotechnology & Life Sciences | Snapshot: 2026-09-22

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE / RECENT

Medical Writer

Meridian Life Sciences (fictional)

Jul 2024 - Present | Pune, India

Independent ownership of scoped medical writer work, coordinating contributors and making review requirements explicit. Includes the first two selected work examples.

- Structured evidence and separated interpretation from findings.
- Prepared reviewed plain-language summaries.
- Led brief clarification and prioritised work using scientific writing, literature appraisal and document structure. Raised unresolved constraints before committing to the next stage.
- Coordinated peer reviews and handover preparation; used a controlled procedure or study document to distinguish completed work, assumptions and follow-up needs.
- Supported colleagues with practical examples of reference management and maintained a concise learning record after important assignments.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Senior Medical Writer

Northline Life Sciences (fictional)

Jul 2020 - Jun 2024 | Pune, India

Owned defined assignments and supported cross-functional coordination. Developed deeper practice in document structure and reference management.

- Created style and source-checking checklists.
- Translated incoming requirements into a sequenced plan and aligned responsibilities with the project or service owner.
- Applied data interpretation and review coordination to resolve delivery questions while maintaining source and decision notes.
- Introduced reusable working documents and reviewed exceptions with the responsible specialist rather than silently changing scope.
- Prepared a scientific or quality summary so the next team could understand the work and remaining questions.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

PROFESSIONAL WORKING PRINCIPLES

- Maintain controlled records, traceability and defined review responsibilities.
- Use appropriate supervision and distinguish exploratory findings from validated conclusions.
- Protect participant information and never treat fictional data as regulated evidence.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE / EARLIER

Medical Writer

Cedarbridge Life Sciences (fictional)

Jul 2017 - Jun 2020 | Pune, India

Progressed from supported tasks to independently managed assignments, with review available for unfamiliar or higher-risk decisions.

- Handled recurring work involving scientific writing and literature appraisal using a documented preparation and review process.
- Supported patient-facing information by organising inputs, maintaining issue notes and incorporating reviewer feedback.
- Coordinated colleagues and internal stakeholders using concise status updates, clear questions and agreed next steps.
- Improved record consistency through plain-language communication and documented handover expectations.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Medical Writer

Cedarbridge Life Sciences (fictional)

Jul 2015 - Jun 2017 | Pune, India

Built practical foundations through supervised assignments, routine documentation and feedback from experienced colleagues.

- Assisted with scientific writing and document structure within an agreed scope and escalated unfamiliar work.
- Prepared inputs and checked completeness before passing work to the responsible reviewer.
- Maintained task records and learned to communicate assumptions, constraints and observed problems clearly.
- Applied review feedback to subsequent assignments and developed a dependable working routine.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

SELECTED CONTRIBUTIONS

Scientific report development. Structured evidence and separated interpretation from findings. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

Patient-facing information. Prepared reviewed plain-language summaries. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

Editorial quality framework. Created style and source-checking checklists. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

SELECTED WORK / 01

Scientific report development

P1 | Jan 2025 - Jun 2025 | FICTIONAL WORK EXAMPLE

Context. Fictional internal work programme in pharmaceutical services, clinical research and scientific documentation; not a real client case study.

Problem. Complex results were presented without a clear narrative.

Objective. Create a workable response to this issue through scientific writing, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

Contribution and ownership. Medical Writer; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Structured evidence and separated interpretation from findings.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used scientific writing to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied literature appraisal and document structure while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

Methods. scientific writing / literature appraisal / document structure / reference management

Deliverables. Scientific report development - scoped brief; Scientific report development - reviewed working package; Scientific report development - handover and learning summary

Review. Reviewed the scoped output against the agreed brief, recorded exceptions and checked that key conclusions could be traced to observations. The review package calls for a controlled procedure or study document and a named human reviewer.

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for scientific report development, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): controlled procedure or study document; traceability and review log; scientific or quality summary.

SPECIALIST PRACTICE

Regulated evidence

The sample focuses on scientific writing, literature appraisal, document structure. Approvals, supervision and specialist input are identified in each work package; work outside this scope is referred to the designated responsible person.

Peer learning and practical guidance. Created short examples on scientific writing and reference management for colleagues. Separated personal preferences from agreed team procedures and recorded useful questions.

Review and handover discipline. Facilitated practical reviews of patient-facing information, ensuring that unresolved issues retained a named owner rather than disappearing from final presentations.

No pharmacy registration, clinical trial approval, product authorisation or validated laboratory result is supplied.

SELECTED WORK / 02 AND 03

Patient-facing information

P2 | Jan 2026 - Jun 2026 | Meridian Life Sciences (fictional)

Problem. Technical language obscured study participation details.

Objective. Create a workable response to this issue through literature appraisal, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

Ownership. Medical Writer; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Prepared reviewed plain-language summaries.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used document structure to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied reference management and data interpretation while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

Methods. literature appraisal / document structure / reference management / data interpretation

Delivered. Patient-facing information - scoped brief; Patient-facing information - reviewed working package; Patient-facing information - handover and learning summary

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for patient-facing information, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): controlled procedure or study document; traceability and review log; scientific or quality summary.

Editorial quality framework

P3 | Jan 2021 - Jun 2021 | Northline Life Sciences (fictional)

Problem. Review cycles repeated avoidable consistency issues.

Objective. Create a workable response to this issue through document structure, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

Ownership. Medical Writer; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Created style and source-checking checklists.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used data interpretation to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied review coordination and plain-language communication while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

Methods. document structure / reference management / data interpretation / review coordination

Delivered. Editorial quality framework - scoped brief; Editorial quality framework - reviewed working package; Editorial quality framework - handover and learning summary

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for editorial quality framework, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): controlled procedure or study document; traceability and review log; scientific or quality summary.

EDUCATION AND SPECIALIST LEARNING

M.Sc in Medical Communication

Asterbridge Institute of Professional Studies (fictional institution)
Jul 2013 - May 2015 | completed - fictional record
Focus: scientific writing, literature appraisal, reference management. Specialist study on scientific report development; an illustrative learning project, not a published result.

Bachelor's in Life Sciences

Cedarhaven College of Applied Studies (fictional institution)
Jul 2010 - May 2013 | completed - fictional record
Focus: document structure, data interpretation, review coordination. Applied coursework in scientific writing, documentation and reviewed practical assignments.

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Scientific documentation workshop (2023). Scientific writing and reference management in the context of medical writer work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to scientific report development.

Research data integrity lab (2024). Literature appraisal and data interpretation in the context of medical writer work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to patient-facing information.

Quality review and traceability seminar (2025). Document structure and review coordination in the context of medical writer work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to editorial quality framework.

Provider for these illustrative learning records: Meridian Professional Learning Studio (fictional). Not a licence or certification.

LANGUAGES AND WORKING PREFERENCES

English and Hindi - professional working proficiency in this fictional record.

Work arrangement: onsite or hybrid by role requirements. Relocation: open to discussion - sample preference.

Availability: not confirmed; discuss before an interview.

CREDENTIAL AND EVIDENCE STATUS

No pharmacy registration, clinical trial approval, product authorisation or validated laboratory result is supplied.
Synthetic qualification and institution names illustrate profile fields only; they are not a validated qualification route or recognised accreditation claim.
No real referee, endorsement, award, publication, membership or licence is supplied.

Use for templates, product demonstrations and test data only. Replace fictional claims and remove the demo label only after approval of real candidate information.

Contact placeholder: nisha.shah@example.com. No phone, live profile URL or verified reference is supplied.

Complete machine-readable data: profile.json. Interactive companion: portfolio.html. Reference CV used for structure only; this is not Ajay Prajapat's biography.