



ARNAV SHAH

Journalist

reporting, interviews, source verification

Bengaluru, India | arnav.shah@example.com | ROLE 31-01

FICTIONAL SAMPLE - all employers, qualifications and outcomes are illustrative and unverified.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Journalist with an illustrative 13-year career in editorial work, research, publishing and language services. Combines reporting, interviews, source verification with disciplined documentation, practical coordination and clear communication. The sample career progresses from focused execution to independent workstream ownership, with responsibilities and boundaries described for each appointment.

Selected work includes local services reporting series, data-led public interest feature and source management workflow. These examples explain the original problem, individual contribution, deliverables, review approach and remaining limitations rather than relying on unsupported headline claims.

Prepared for experienced journalist opportunities requiring dependable delivery, thoughtful professional judgement and collaboration. The qualification narrative includes Master's in Investigative Journalism. Every named organisation and outcome in this record is fictional; professional eligibility is not independently established.

AT A GLANCE

13 years ILLUSTRATIVE CAREER	4 CAREER APPOINTMENTS	3 WORK EXAMPLES	2 EDUCATION RECORDS
---------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------	------------------------

CORE COMPETENCIES

- reporting / interviews
- source verification / news writing
- research / data interpretation
- editorial judgement / media ethics

PROFESSIONAL MISSION

Turn a clear brief into dependable editorial work, research, publishing and language services work: understand the context, apply reporting and interviews, record the evidence and explain the limitations before handover.

Career family: Writing, Journalism & Publishing | Snapshot: 2026-09-22

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE / RECENT

Journalist

Meridian Editorial Studio (fictional)

Jul 2022 - Present | Bengaluru, India

Independent ownership of scoped journalist work, coordinating contributors and making review requirements explicit. Includes the first two selected work examples.

- Interviewed stakeholders and separated observations from claims.
- Analysed a synthetic dataset and documented limitations.
- Led brief clarification and prioritised work using reporting, interviews and source verification. Raised unresolved constraints before committing to the next stage.
- Coordinated peer reviews and handover preparation; used a commission or editorial brief to distinguish completed work, assumptions and follow-up needs.
- Supported colleagues with practical examples of news writing and maintained a concise learning record after important assignments.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Senior Journalist

Northline Editorial Studio (fictional)

Jul 2018 - Jun 2022 | Bengaluru, India

Owned defined assignments and supported cross-functional coordination. Developed deeper practice in source verification and news writing.

- Introduced secure source logs and editorial review checks.
- Translated incoming requirements into a sequenced plan and aligned responsibilities with the project or service owner.
- Applied research and data interpretation to resolve delivery questions while maintaining source and decision notes.
- Introduced reusable working documents and reviewed exceptions with the responsible specialist rather than silently changing scope.
- Prepared a review and publication handover so the next team could understand the work and remaining questions.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

PROFESSIONAL WORKING PRINCIPLES

- Define audience, editorial purpose and source expectations before drafting.
- Separate fact, interpretation and creative work and preserve source attribution.
- Use controlled revisions and document permissions, sensitivity and publication limits.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE / EARLIER

Journalist

Cedarbridge Editorial Studio (fictional)

Jul 2015 - Jun 2018 | Bengaluru, India

Progressed from supported tasks to independently managed assignments, with review available for unfamiliar or higher-risk decisions.

- Handled recurring work involving reporting and interviews using a documented preparation and review process.
- Supported data-led public interest feature by organising inputs, maintaining issue notes and incorporating reviewer feedback.
- Coordinated colleagues and internal stakeholders using concise status updates, clear questions and agreed next steps.
- Improved record consistency through editorial judgement and documented handover expectations.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

Assistant Journalist

Cedarbridge Editorial Studio (fictional)

Jul 2013 - Jun 2015 | Bengaluru, India

Built practical foundations through supervised assignments, routine documentation and feedback from experienced colleagues.

- Assisted with reporting and source verification within an agreed scope and escalated unfamiliar work.
- Prepared inputs and checked completeness before passing work to the responsible reviewer.
- Maintained task records and learned to communicate assumptions, constraints and observed problems clearly.
- Applied review feedback to subsequent assignments and developed a dependable working routine.

Illustrative career responsibilities. Employer confirmation and underlying work records are not supplied.

SELECTED CONTRIBUTIONS

Local services reporting series. Interviewed stakeholders and separated observations from claims. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

Data-led public interest feature. Analysed a synthetic dataset and documented limitations. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

Source management workflow. Introduced secure source logs and editorial review checks. The achievement is the described workstream contribution; independent outcome evidence is not supplied.

SELECTED WORK / 01

Local services reporting series

P1 | Jan 2023 - Jun 2023 | FICTIONAL WORK EXAMPLE

Context. Fictional internal work programme in editorial work, research, publishing and language services; not a real client case study.

Problem. Residents lacked clear explanations of service constraints.

Objective. Create a workable response to this issue through reporting, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

Contribution and ownership. Journalist; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Interviewed stakeholders and separated observations from claims.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used reporting to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied interviews and source verification while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

Methods. reporting / interviews / source verification / news writing

Deliverables. Local services reporting series - scoped brief; Local services reporting series - reviewed working package; Local services reporting series - handover and learning summary

Review. Reviewed the scoped output against the agreed brief, recorded exceptions and checked that key conclusions could be traced to observations. The review package calls for a commission or editorial brief and a named human reviewer.

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for local services reporting series, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): commission or editorial brief; annotated draft and source log; review and publication handover.

SPECIALIST PRACTICE

Publication and attribution

The sample focuses on reporting, interviews, source verification. Approvals, supervision and specialist input are identified in each work package; work outside this scope is referred to the designated responsible person.

Peer learning and practical guidance. Created short examples on reporting and news writing for colleagues. Separated personal preferences from agreed team procedures and recorded useful questions.

Review and handover discipline. Facilitated practical reviews of data-led public interest feature, ensuring that unresolved issues retained a named owner rather than disappearing from final presentations.

Article and publication titles are fictional samples, not real bylines or published credits.

SELECTED WORK / 02 AND 03

Data-led public interest feature

P2 | Jan 2024 - Jun 2024 | Meridian Editorial Studio (fictional)

Problem. Headline figures lacked population and time context.

Objective. Create a workable response to this issue through interviews, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

Ownership. Journalist; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Analysed a synthetic dataset and documented limitations.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used source verification to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied news writing and research while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

Methods. interviews / source verification / news writing / research

Delivered. Data-led public interest feature - scoped brief; Data-led public interest feature - reviewed working package; Data-led public interest feature - handover and learning summary

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for data-led public interest feature, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): commission or editorial brief; annotated draft and source log; review and publication handover.

Source management workflow

P3 | Jan 2019 - Jun 2019 | Northline Editorial Studio (fictional)

Problem. Reporting notes lacked consistent consent and attribution records.

Objective. Create a workable response to this issue through source verification, explicit review criteria and practical documentation. Agree the boundaries before execution and retain unresolved points for follow-up.

Ownership. Journalist; owned the stated workstream, not the full organisation or every collaborator contribution.

- Introduced secure source logs and editorial review checks.
- Prepared the scope with the commissioning team, identified unresolved inputs and used research to turn the brief into a sequenced work package.
- Applied data interpretation and editorial judgement while coordinating reviews with the designated owner. Kept decision notes so collaborators could separate facts, assumptions and changes.
- Assembled the handover material, explained open limitations and agreed which items needed further review rather than presenting them as completed.

Methods. source verification / news writing / research / data interpretation

Delivered. Source management workflow - scoped brief; Source management workflow - reviewed working package; Source management workflow - handover and learning summary

Illustrative outcome: the team adopted a repeatable approach for source management workflow, with clearer ownership and reviewable records. This is a fictional qualitative result; no real performance measurement or external acceptance evidence is supplied.

Suggested evidence (not supplied): commission or editorial brief; annotated draft and source log; review and publication handover.

EDUCATION AND SPECIALIST LEARNING

Master's in Investigative Journalism

Asterbridge Institute of Professional Studies (fictional institution)
Jul 2011 - May 2013 | completed - fictional record
Focus: reporting, interviews, news writing. Specialist study on local services reporting series; an illustrative learning project, not a published result.

Bachelor's in Journalism

Cedarhaven College of Applied Studies (fictional institution)
Jul 2008 - May 2011 | completed - fictional record
Focus: source verification, research, data interpretation. Applied coursework in reporting, documentation and reviewed practical assignments.

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Editorial judgement workshop (2023). Reporting and news writing in the context of journalist work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to local services reporting series.

Source verification practicum (2024). Interviews and research in the context of journalist work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to data-led public interest feature.

Accessible writing studio (2025). Source verification and data interpretation in the context of journalist work. Used reflective exercises and a bounded practice example related to source management workflow.

Provider for these illustrative learning records: Meridian Professional Learning Studio (fictional). Not a licence or certification.

LANGUAGES AND WORKING PREFERENCES

English and Hindi - professional working proficiency in this fictional record.

Work arrangement: onsite or hybrid by role requirements. Relocation: open to discussion - sample preference.

Availability: not confirmed; discuss before an interview.

CREDENTIAL AND EVIDENCE STATUS

Article and publication titles are fictional samples, not real bylines or published credits.

Synthetic qualification and institution names illustrate profile fields only; they are not a validated qualification route or recognised accreditation claim.

No real referee, endorsement, award, publication, membership or licence is supplied.

Use for templates, product demonstrations and test data only. Replace fictional claims and remove the demo label only after approval of real candidate information.

Contact placeholder: arnav.shah@example.com. No phone, live profile URL or verified reference is supplied.

Complete machine-readable data: profile.json. Interactive companion: portfolio.html. Reference CV used for structure only; this is not Ajay Prajapat's biography.